

Critical Issues in Reproductive Health and Population

Partnership for Prevention:

A report of a meeting between women's health advocates, program planners, and scientists

The Population Council

BR RICCC SH. CE. 65. FA

Partnership for Prevention:

A report of a meeting between women's health advocates, program planners, and scientists

New York and Washington, DC 3-12 May 1994

Organized by:

The Population Council The Pacific Institute for Women's Health The International Women's Health Coalition

> The Population Council New York 1994



BR 12500C SH.06.05 F2

The Population Council seeks to improve the wellbeing and reproductive health of current and future generations around the world and to help achieve a humane, equitable, and sustainable balance between people and resources. The Council's Robert H. Ebert Program on Critical Issues in Reproductive Health and Population grew from an awareness that many important reproductive health problems, and the ways women experience them, have been neglected by policy makers, program planners, and practitioners. Designing programs and promoting technology development that addresses sexually transmitted infections. including AIDS, within the larger context of women's reproductive health has been an important focus of the Program's activities since its inception. The Population Council's Center for Biomedical Research (CBR) has conducted important research regarding the mechanism of sexual transmission of the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) - the virus that causes AIDS. As an outgrowth of this work, CBR has identified several potentially microbicidal compounds which block the transmission of HIV when studied in the laboratory and is currently doing exploratory work on the formulation of these compounds into preparations that women could use intravaginally.

Robert H. Ebert Program The Population Council 1 Dag Hammarskjold Plaza New York, NY 10017 tel: (212) 339-0500 fax: (212) 755-6052

The Pacific Institute for Women's Health is a not-for-profit research and advocacy organization dedicated to improving women's health status worldwide. The Pacific Institute works in partnership with women's organizations, health professionals, and researchers in both the North and the South to advance a broad, multidisciplinary analysis of health grounded in the social, economic, and cultural realities of women's lives. Unlike other organizations that tend to stress either research or activism, the Pacific Institute aims to make highquality, research-based activism its signature trademark. The organization also stands committed to helping community-based groups in the United States and abroad strengthen their ability to respond to the health needs of women in their communities.

The Pacific Institute for Women's Health 2999 Overland Avenue, #218 Los Angeles, CA 90064 tel: (310) 842-6828 fax: (310) 280-0600

The Pacific Institute for Women's Health 1730 Rhode Island Ave., NW, Suite 712 Washington, DC 20036 tel: (202) 293-4868 fax: (202) 293-4860

The International Women's Health Coalition (IWHC) is an independent organization working in alliance with feminists, women's organizations, health professionals, and officials in Southern countries and Northern institutions. IWHC promotes women's reproductive health and rights and serves as a catalyst to change programs and policies that affect women's ability to manage their sexual health and attain their reproductive rights. IWHC's objectives are to enable women to remain free of disease, disability, fear, pain, or death associated with reproduction and sexuality: manage their own fertility safely and effectively; and bear and raise healthy children when they choose to do so. Providing professional and financial support to colleagues in eight countries of Asia, Africa, and Latin America, IWHC's programs are designed to build local organizational capacity and facilitate contact among colleagues both within and across countries and regions. IWHC convenes international conferences, collaborates with international agencies, and publishes issue papers. IWHC also informs U.S. opinion leaders to build political support for changes in U.S. foreign assistance to promote women's reproductive and sexual health and rights.

International Women's Health Coalition 24 East 21st Street New York, NY 10010 tel: (212) 979-8500 fax: (212) 979-9009

Financial support for this meeting and report was provided by the United States Agency for International Development, Office of Health, through cooperative agreement 5972-A-00-3022-00; the Swedish International Development Authority; and The Rockefeller Foundation. The views expressed in this document are either those of individual participants or represent a consensus view of the whole group. These views do not necessarily represent the views and policies of the Population Council, the Pacific Institute for Women's Health, the International Women's Health Coalition, those organizations represented by the women's health advocates who participated in the meeting, or the funding institutions.

BR RSCOC SH. 06,05.73V

Contents

Executive Summary

Introduction Christiana Coggins, Programs Division, The Population Council

Partnership for Prevention

- What Women Need in an HIV Prevention Method Lori Heise, The Pacific Institute for Women's Health
- Developing HIV Prevention Technologies for Women: The Need for Partnership David Phillips, Center for Biomedical Research, The Population Council
- The Role of Women's Health Advocates in Technology Research and Development Amparo Claro, Latin American Women's Health Network
- A View from the Laboratory Rachael Pearce-Pratt, Center for Biomedical Research, The Population Council

Research: Empowering or Exploitative? Liz Cameron, Empower

Interim Prevention Strategies: The Debate over Nonoxynol-9 Vicki Legion, City College of San Francisco/San Francisco State University

Practical Realities and Women's Protective Needs Muriel J. Harris, Society for Women and AIDS in Africa

No Quick Fix: Integrating Microbicides into an Overall AIDS Prevention Strategy Nicolien Wieringa, Women's Health Action Foundation

Reflections and Hopes Christopher Elias, Programs Division, The Population Council Adrienne Germain, International Women's Health Coalition

Furthering the Partnership Lori Heise, The Pacific Institute for Women's Health

Appendices

Recommendations List of Participants Agenda

1

lth,

BR R5000 SH. 06.05. FUV

Executive Summary

From May 3-12, 1994, the Population Council, in conjunction with the Pacific Institute for Women's Health and the International Women's Health Coalition, hosted a meeting for women's health advocates from around the world to discuss the development of microbicides. Microbicides are pharmaceutical products that have the potential to prevent the transmission of HIV and other sexually transmitted infections when applied intravaginally. The group spent six working days in New York and two in Washington, DC and met with a wide range of scientists involved in microbicide research and development. We also met with senior staff from the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and the National Institutes of Health (NIH), as well as with women's congressional and lobbying groups. It was an intensive eight-day consultation and, although the itinerary was guite full, the women met frequently as a small group and discussed their emerging concerns and impressions. This process was the first step in building greater understanding and mutual respect among women's health advocates and Population Council staff and scientists in regard to the technology of microbicides. It is hoped that the recommendations resulting from this initiative will have a positive influence not only on the development of microbicides, but on all reproductive health technologies.

• • •

In producing a report of this consultative process, we decided as a group that rather than an exhaustive summary of our discussions, we would aim to reproduce some of the flavor of our deliberations by compiling short essays from most of the meeting participants. We felt that this format – by allowing participants an opportunity to speak in their own voices – would best capture the exploratory and often inconclusive nature of our initial discussions. The complexities of STDs, including HIV/AIDS, and their prevention belie the possibility of simple definitive recommendations, hence our emphasis on an on-going process of consultation and partnership.

As a group, we would like to acknowledge the considerable effort expended by Jennifer Grant in compiling and editing this report and writing the text that weaves the various pieces together into a coherent story.

BR RJ& SH. DE. 35. F5

Introduction

Christiana Coggins Programs Division The Population Council

' a

ome

ak

ıre

Эy

۱e

The Population Council, the Pacific Institute for Women's Health, and the e International Women's Health Coalition share a commitment to women's reproductive > in health. In recent years, these institutions have called attention to women's exposure to reproductive tract infections (RTIs), including sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) and nd AIDS, within the broader context of reproductive and sexual health and cultural realities. ry ing Recognizing women's risk of infection points to an urgent need both to address the sociocultural aspects of women's sexual health and to develop woman-controlled hat only methods that will provide protection against sexually transmitted infection. Our three organizations, therefore, collaborated in May 1994 to initiate an ongoing consultative process between women's health advocates and scientists with regard to the technology of vaginal microbicides.

The term "vaginal microbicide" refers to any compound capable, when applied intravaginally, of preventing the transmission of sexually transmitted pathogens – including bacteria, parasites, and/or viruses such as HIV. Ideally, microbicidal products would be formulated and distributed in such a way as to ensure that they are truly woman-controlled and neither provider nor partner dependent. This advance would give women a tool they urgently want. The PC/PI/IWHC initiative is committed to the timely development of safe and effective "microbicides" – as opposed to the more narrow

BR RJCOC SH. 06.05. FEV

concept of a "virucide" – because women require protection against the full range of sexually transmitted infections. Indeed, at present in many parts of the world, these more common reproductive tract infections are responsible for greater amounts of morbidity and mortality than HIV/AIDS.

While the development of a microbicide is of critical importance, this technology alone will not redress the underlying conditions of women's lives that make them vulnerable to sexually transmitted disease. The global strategy to prevent STDs and AIDS has so far encouraged people to reduce their number of sexual partners and to use condoms. These strategies, however, most often do not confront the power imbalances that exist between men and women that make it virtually impossible for some women to refuse unwanted or unprotected sex. Gender power inequity also shapes the economic realities that necessitate the selling of sex by women and girls and the social perceptions that stigmatize women who use STD services.

The May 1994 meeting was designed to prepare participants for sophisticated debate on microbicide research, development, and introduction by reviewing detailed background information on science and policy issues; to consolidate a vision of the group's collective goals over the next five-plus years; to expose Population Council staff and management to first-hand accounts of women's views and concerns; to introduce participants to the politics, institutions, and personalities that will shape the direction of microbicide development over the next five to ten years; and to develop a tentative three-year plan of action and funding proposal to support the future work of the consultative group.

BR RJCOC 51,06.05.16

The goals of the consultative process included the following:

y

CS

:0

15

f

f

- to ensure that the Population Council's current commitment to microbicide development results in the availability of vaginal products that are safe, effective, and meet women's needs;
- to develop an approach for future reproductive health technology development that engages women as active partners in all aspects of the product development process;
- to further dialogue between women's health advocates, scientists, and program planners on issues that affect women's sexual and reproductive lives, especially with respect to STD and HIV prevention;
- to increase the ability of women to serve as effective advocates by increasing their understanding of and access to scientific information and to the institutions and decision makers that affect policy; and
- to foster sensitivity and insight among scientists regarding the realities of women's lives that influence the introduction, safety, and effective use of reproductive health technologies, especially those that might prevent sexually transmitted infection.

The ideas, opinions, and recommendations that emerged from the meeting will be instrumental in the Population Council's efforts to incorporate women's health perspectives into the range of activities that constitute new product development – from formulation of products in the laboratory, through design of study protocols, to the actual implementation of field research. This meeting was but the first step in building greater understanding and mutual trust and respect among women's health advocates and the Population Council's program planners and scientists. We hope that the changes resulting from this initiative will ultimately affect all future reproductive health technology development.

BR RSCOC SH. JG. 05 FGU

To achieve a balance of perspectives, similar numbers of women's health advocates, program planners, and scientists were asked to participate. Advocates from Latin America, Africa, Asia, Western Europe, and the United States were invited to the consultation. The nucleus of the meeting was comprised of nine international women's health advocates and nine staff and scientists from the Pacific Institute, IWHC, and the Population Council's Programs Division and Center for Biomedical Research. A full agenda of our eight day consultation is attached, along with a complete list of participants. Toward the end of the consultation the women's health advocates presented their concerns and recommendations to the senior staff of the Population Council for consideration and discussion. These recommendations are attached to this report and have formed the basis for interim action by the Population Council. Progress on these recommendations will be discussed at the next meeting of the group, which is to be hosted by the Pacific Institute for Women's Health in Washington, DC in October 1994.

Partnership for Prevention

In her opening comments, Adrienne Germain (Vice-president, International Women's Health Coalition) called the consultation an historic event because it was one of the first efforts to bring together scientists, program planners, and women's health advocates to debate issues related to an important new reproductive health technology at an early stage in its development. In 1991, the International Women's Health Coalition together with the World Health Organization sponsored a two-day dialogue concerning the need for earlier and more intensive exchange between scientists and advocates in the development of contraceptive technology. These discussions were summarized in their publication entitled <u>Creating Common Ground</u>. The May consultation was an excellent example of the type of early dialogue recommended by that report.

5

Historically, the Population Council has focused on the development of long-acting methods of contraception, as Christopher Elias (Senior Associate, Population Council) explained in his overview of the organization's work in technology development. For example, the Council was primarily responsible for development of the Copper T IUD and NORPLANT® subdermal implant system. Several years ago, however, David Phillips (Senior Scientist, Center for Biomedical Research) and colleagues began to conduct experiments aimed at elucidating the precise mechanism of the sexual transmission of HIV across mucosal membranes. As an outgrowth of this work, several cell culture systems were developed for screening potentially microbicidal

compounds, suggesting the possibility of developing a vaginal product capable of preventing HIV and other sexually transmitted infections.

At that time, work on vaginal microbicides was outside the mainstream of research on reproductive biology and contraceptive development. The notion of a microbicide was also outside the spectrum of prevention technologies being promoted within the AIDS prevention community. At an Ebert seminar, held early in 1989, Dr. Dooley Worth, an anthropologist, provided one of the earliest critical analyses of the "always use a condom" prevention strategy for women. Dr. Worth vividly described

reasons – sexual inequity in relationships and unacknowledged sexual infidelity – for resistance to condom use among highrisk women in two New York City AIDS prevention programs. A seminal policy decision was made by the Council's

...for many women the choice is not between a condom and a microbicide, but between a microbicide and nothing at all. - Lori Heise

"Abortifacient and Virucides Working Group" (a precursor to the current "Microbicides Working Group"): the Council would move forward to identify or develop a microbicide that provided, first and foremost, safe protection from infection. It was decided that the Council would pursue any biomedical leads without regard for whether or not it also had contraceptive activity.

In 1991, the Population Council held a meeting of scientists, funders, and women's health advocates to build momentum around the idea that a microbicide was needed, feasible, and essential to the global battle against HIV/AIDS. In June 1992, 50

BR R1005 SH. 06.05.F8

andividuals met in New York to discuss the issue and the following year, Christopher Eilas and Lori Heise wrote <u>The Development of Microbicides: A New Method of HIV</u> <u>Prevention for Women</u> informed by the deliberations of the meeting. This report, published as a Population Council working paper, served to catalyze much needed action both within the Council and within the wider scientific community to translate the hope of microbicide development into a reality. As a joint production of a scientist and an advocate, this working paper provided an explicitly feminist analysis of the need for microbicide development, as well as a pragmatic review of the principal challenges to be faced in advancing the development of safe, effective, and affordable microbicidal products.

What Women Need in an HIV Prevention Method

Lori Heise, Director The Pacific Institute for Women's Health Mashington, DC

I came to the issue of microbicide research through my work on violence against nomen. As I listened to the frustrations of women and HIV prevention workers, it became increasingly clear to me that condoms simply were not an option for many nomen. Women told stories of being ridiculed, abandoned, or beaten for raising the ssue of condom use with their partners. Others were afraid even to ask. It wasn't aways violence they feared, however, but also the prospect of losing a relationship that mas emotionally and/or economically important to them.

The AIDS mantra, "Always use a condom," ignored their realities in two ways. It asked women to protect themselves by using a technology outside of their control, and it ignored the fact that the only option women had to protect themselves was one that also prevents pregnancy while, in many cultures, a woman's social status depends on her ability to bear children.

Given these dilemmas, I formulated a simple question and took it to every AIDS expert I could locate. I asked, "Is it scientifically <u>possible</u> to imagine a vaginal product that would kill or block HIV transmission but would not kill sperm?" The responses were enticing; I was frequently told that it was attainable. It was upsetting to learn then, from the same sources, that the idea was not being pursued.

The reasons why not could easily fill a scrapbook. "Women really aren't at risk of HIV. We have to invest our limited resources where they count." "If a woman wants a child, she should just stop using a condom for a while." And my favorite: "Such a product could be counterproductive in the long run because women would stop using condoms." This response, of course, ignores the reality that for many women the choice is not between a condom and a microbicide, but between a microbicide and nothing at all.

My initiation into the world of microbicide research has convinced me that the scientific community is often dangerously divorced from the reality of women's lives. I see the Partnership for Prevention consultation as a first step toward confronting this predicament and making sure that women's voices are heard.

BR RICOC SH. 03. 05. F9

The June 1992 meeting sparked increased interest in microbicide development both within the Population Council and within the wider scientific community. Coincident with this meeting, at the invitation of George Zeidenstein, then president of me Population Council, Christopher Elias and David Phillips (Center for Biomedical Research) made a joint presentation to the Population Council's Board of Trustees. They asserted that the expanding epidemic of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) and AIDS mandated greater Council involvement in the development of barrier contraceptive methods, and suggested that vaginal formulations of products that women could use to protect themselves from STDs should be a priority for the Council's development program. They specifically requested and received the Board's permission to pursue both contraceptive and noncontraceptive microbicidal preparations, arguing that the latter are essential for promoting safe fertility regulation choices among all women at risk of sexually transmitted infection. Subsequent to that approval, the Center for Biomedical Research and Programs Division have developed and implemented a detailed workplan that includes continuing basic research, as well as pursuing a critical path of microbicidal product development. This interdivisional collaboration has resulted in significant advances. Several formulations of microbicidal compounds are now in the preclinical stage of product evaluation and clinical testing of at least one of these products is anticipated to begin by the end of 1994. This progress has also been reflected in an exponential rise in the commitment of staff and financial resources to microbicide development within the Council over the past three years.

е

BR RICCE SH 06.05. FQV

Developing HIV Prevention Technologies for Women: The Need for Partnership

David M. Phillips, Senior Scientist Center for Biomedical Research The Population Council

The specific mandate of the Council's Center for Biomedical Research (CBR) is to carry out research that will serve as underpinnings for advances in the area of women's reproductive health. Traditionally CBR has focused on contraceptive development, but recently – in the face of the growing need – we have expanded into developing new ways for women to protect themselves against STDs, especially HIV/AIDS. At this juncture, it is important to identify mechanisms for receiving input from the women who will be most affected by the development of microbicides.

Most of my own research on microbicides is still in a preclinical stage. When we began our work on HIV six years ago, it was generally believed that heterosexual transmission was initiated by the entrance of free-virus particles into tiny lesions in the epithelial cells that line the genital tract. Using cervix-derived cells that were grown in the laboratory, we demonstrated that HIV-infected white blood cells in genital tract secretions, or blood, are probably the vectors for HIV transmission. We have observed that infection is initiated by adhesion of these white blood cells to intact epithelia which line the genital tract. The laboratory cell cultures and assay systems that we developed to carry out this basic research have allowed us to identify several compounds that seem to block HIV infection of epithelial cells from the female genital tract. We have also found that the same compounds may inhibit chlamydial infection.

BR RSCOC SH. 06.05. F10

The Partnership for Prevention consultation confirmed for me that we are now at the stage where we need the advice of women "in the field" to determine how best to find out 1) whether women are receptive to the use of such formulations, 2) what types of formulations may be best suited to their needs and lifestyles, 3) whether vaginal formulations containing such compounds can prevent the transmission of HIV and other sexually transmitted pathogens during the conditions of sexual intercourse in real-life situations, and 4) how to proceed with testing such formulations to learn how they can, and should, be introduced and used.

All research at the Population Council must be carried out with special understanding of the long-term effects that women might encounter when the product is put into use as well as the short-term effects on women involved in clinical trials. In my opinion, it is in this area that productive interaction with women's health advocates can be most helpful. The role of such advocates is especially critical in light of the great gulf that separates biomedical scientists of the western world from women of the developing world. Our interaction has great potential to be mutually beneficial: scientists will learn how best to test and deliver a new reproductive health product that is both safe and effective <u>and</u> meets women's needs, and women's health advocates will be provided with access to accurate scientific information to inform and propel the advocacy process. Along the way, we all stand to gain from the development of mutual respect and the possibility of establishing partnership where, too often, there has been adversity.

As Christopher Elias and Lori Heise's paper describes, many questions remain regarding the reproductive biology associated with HIV transmission, such as identification of the specific infectious elements in semen, determination of the precise cells and tissues in the reproductive tract susceptible to infection, and the possible association of HIV with sperm. Other questions pertain to the local bacteriological, pH. and immune factors that comprise and sustain the ecology of the reproductive tract and the range of possible preventive mechanisms. This research and the product development, testing, and registration of any new biotechnology takes up to seven to ter years. Thus, development of safe and effective microbicides will require a great deal of research effort. Testing of potentially microbicidal compounds is in its very early stages and, hence, the availability of new microbicidal vaginal products is still some time off time that is precious in the face of the global HIV epidemic. This reality further support the need for close cooperation between scientists and advocates - to expedite the development process as much as possible, but more importantly, to contextualize the work on new technologies so that concerted attention is also given to other strategies fo women's protection.

BR R1000 SH. 06.05. F11

The Role of Women's Health Advocates in Technology Research and Development

Amparo Claro, Coordinator Latin American and Caribbean Women's Health Network Santiago, Chile

Having an open dialogue between scientists and women's advocates should be the first step in the research regarding women's reproductive health, including microbicide and contraceptive research. By involving women's groups in all phases of the process, reproductive health research will take a fundamental step forward and scientists, women's health advocates, and the technology under development will greatly benefit from the new alliance.

Carmen Barroso, (Director, Population Program, MacArthur Foundation), suggests several ways in which a scientific agenda is advanced by collaboration with women's health advocates. She points out that advocates work to:

- counteract forces that oppose the development and delivery of contraceptive methods;
- gain the attention of policy makers who traditionally fail to give issues related to demographics and women's health due notice;
- increase the ultimate effectiveness of the range of reproductive technologies by providing details of the complexity of women's lives affecting the acceptability of methods; and
- help the scientific community in creating conditions for the implementation of high ethical standards.

Additionally, involving women's health advocates in clinical trials benefits both the study participants and the scientists. When researchers become allied with women's

en

rts

for

RE RESOR SH. 06.05. F. 11V

health advocates in the health centers where clinical trials are conducted, information about the product being tested is dispersed through local networks and members of the community. If involved in this process, women's health advocates would establish open and meaningful communication with the study participants which would provide, for the scientists, more accurate and complete reactions to the product. One way to accomplish this partnership would be for agencies to begin a dialogue with local networks by sending project lists to local organizations requesting input and collaboration. Additionally, a job position for a women's health advocate could be written into the original study proposal. Taking these steps would serve to create a participatory, democratic, and respectful approach to the development of a new technology because the study participants and their communities would be regarded and employed as significant contributors to the research instead of passive objects.

• • •

Many question whether it is feasible to design clinical trials of microbicides that are both scientifically valid and ethically sound. With minor modification, the typical product-development sequence for a new vaginal contraceptive can be applied to the development of new microbicidal compounds. Following successful testing in vitro and in animal models, a carefully selected group of potentially microbicidal compounds will be brought to human trials. Human trials typically involve three phases prior to drug registration: Phase I trials evaluate the safety, toxicity, and acceptability of a product in a BR RECOC 34. 06.05. F28 V

small number of women; Phase II trials generally involve modest numbers of women and are designed to establish some evidence of effectiveness; and Phase III trials expand the safety and effectiveness testing to large numbers of women. For a typical compound, completion of all three phases of human clinical trials can take at least five years, but may take longer for microbicide evaluation, given the sample size requirements and special characteristics of study populations.

A View from the Laboratory

Rachael Pearce-Pratt Center for Biomedical Research The Population Council

I approached the Partnership for Prevention meeting as an opportunity to discuss the basic science surrounding vaginal microbicide development with women's health advocates without oversimplifying the complex mechanisms involved in sexual transmission of HIV. The questions that the advocates raised regarding the relevance of the science to the "real-life situation" of heterosexual intercourse were well appreciated and fit in with our overall goal to make our laboratory systems as life-like as possible. Additionally, we benefited by hearing, first-hand, what were the realities of some of the women's lives for whom this product will be developed.

During the first session of the meeting, we discussed the idea that scientists and women's health advocates speak different languages and thus may not always be able to comprehend each other's approach to a technology like microbicides. Our initial apprehension that we might not be able to communicate as a group, however, was

BR RSCOC SH.06.05. F28

unfounded. In fact, the clarity and level of honesty in putting on the table the issues that mattered most to us had the effect of uniting all participants.

As scientists, we were surprised when the women's health advocates acknowledged that scientific research – especially with respect to women's reproductive health – is often viewed with suspicion. Consequently, we were eager to reassure participants that we are concerned that any microbicide, once developed, truly will be woman-controlled and, to insure this, we need women's health advocates to inform our work by expressing the global concerns of women about such a product. We need them to inform us about cultural practices that might make one formulation unacceptable in a certain society or necessitate that a microbicidal product be completely undetectable. Only by collaborating with women's health advocates do we learn about the realities of how clinical trials are actually implemented and whether the way the design is interpreted "in the field" is correct or will generate misleading results.

Women's health advocates are invaluable intermediaries between the laboratory and the policy-making processes. We anticipate an essential relay of information as we go forward with the development of a female-controlled microbicide.

• • •

One major challenge with respect to microbicide development is to design a Phase III effectiveness trial that is both scientifically rigorous and ethically defensible. From a scientific standpoint, the most rigorous, and therefore the most desirable, design is a EN. RJEDE SH. 06.05.1 27 V

randomized controlled trial, where trial participants are randomly assigned to receive either the compound under investigation or a placebo. Since it would be unethical to withhold a form of HIV prevention known to be protective, participants in a microbicide trial would also receive free supplies of condoms and be counseled to use both the vaginal product and a condom during each act of intercourse. Statistical techniques would be used to determine any incremental benefit offered by the experimental compound over condom use alone.

The medical community has been "doing research on sex workers" instead of joining with the sexworker community for mutually beneficial research. - Liz Cameron This type of trial design raises important ethical issues. Researchers have an obligation to minimize harm and to maximize the benefits to all trial participants. An unfortunate reality to be faced in conducting such a trial is that at

least some members of the comparison group will become infected with HIV while participating in the study. The critical ethical question is whether all study participants will benefit from participation in the trial. In the optimal design, all participants – including those in the control group – benefit from receiving condoms, reproductive health care, and intensive HIV counseling. Historical controls could be used to document improved use of condoms by all participants as a direct measure of the benefit of participating in the trial. If the trial is performed properly, the women in the control group should also have a lower HIV seroconversion rate than women not participating in the study.

BR. RJCOC SH. 06, 05. F.24

Attention to the ethics of clinical trial design is especially important because those groups of women who are at greatest risk of HIV and, hence, are often sought out by investigators as study participants (the greater the infection rate, the smaller the required sample size) are often extremely vulnerable to exploitation. Many of these women are sex workers. Given the economic and legal realities of these women's lives, one must carefully examine the feasibility of actually obtaining informed consent, as well as the possibility of their further exploitation if the trial is not implemented as designed.

Research: Empowering or Exploitative?

Liz Cameron Empower Chiang Mai, Thailand

Women doing sex work in brothels are often referred to as a "vulnerable population." It is not, however, that the women themselves are "vulnerable," but instead their working conditions leave them unprotected from exploitation and abuse. The realities of their lives are such that they are not able to choose when to eat, bathe, sleep, or work. They cannot choose to whom they sell sex or where they will go when they are ill. The brothel owners make these decisions, along with decisions regarding their health care, wages, hours, and methods they use for prevention of pregnancy and STD. Sex workers are also vulnerable to exploitation from people conducting clinical trials; again the brothel owner decides whether the women will participate. "If we don't go for our interviews and tests, they contact our bosses and they tell us to go," explained one woman enrolled in a clinical trial. BR BTCOL SH. 06.05 F26 1

The reality is that sex workers work extremely hard to support their families under the stress of financial hardship and the threat and effects of disease. In their limited free time, these women struggle to get education and improve their standard of living. One woman enrolled in one trial says, "Last night I didn't finish work until 4 a.m. I had to get up early to take my son to school. I'm exhausted and would like to go to sleep, but I have to go for an interview and test. I wish they would ask me what time is good for me." These women do not ask the outside world to solve their problems and, to a large extent, the rest of the global community seems content to leave these women to struggle alone.

Thai sex workers are distressed by the influx of reproductive health researchers from around the world. Social researchers intrude into their lives, and sex workers wonder whether the researchers are coming with the aim to address the needs and concerns of sex workers or to satisfy the agenda they came with. During a workshop on the ethics of research held by Empower, one woman asked, "Don't they have women doing sex work in other countries? Why do they come here where we have no rights?"

Both the researchers and the way the study design is implemented fail to meet the women's expectations. "I didn't know I would have to answer such personal questions. I was very embarrassed." Another says, "I thought it was important to be honest so I told him that I cannot always insist on condoms with every customer. I expected him to talk to me about the risks. Instead he just wrote it down, as he told me he was in a hurry to go and eat his lunch." Often women assume participation will in some way improve the conditions of their lives, even if explicit promises aren't made.

BR RXXX JH.06.05. F 26

The medical community has been "doing research on sex workers" instead of joining with the sex-worker community for mutually beneficial research. The recruiting teams come into the women's homes and bedrooms after gaining consent to access by the brothel owners, not the women themselves. One woman who was enrolled in a trial explains, "I couldn't ask any questions or talk properly because I knew the owner was just outside and would hear everything."

The <u>realities of the lives</u> of the participants determine whether a clinical trial is ethical, regardless of how well it is intended or designed. Regardless of informed consent, use of women who work in brothels in clinical trials is unethical because the women themselves don't grant consent. If an organization seeks to conduct research on a "vulnerable population," its researchers first should take the time truly to learn the realities of the women's lives. Doing this would take a researcher years, but would result in more ethical studies and scientifically valid results.

• • •

The individual women who participate in clinical trials will not necessarily benefit in the near term from the development of a vaginal microbicide. These women are at high risk of HIV infection and, in the seven to ten years it

Women are able to understand a hierarchical message that is more complicated than "condoms, condoms, condoms"... - Vicki Legion BR RECOT JH. 06.05. F25V

will take to develop, test, and register a microbicidal product, many will probably become infected with the virus. While pursuing the long term goal of developing new microbicidal products, public health workers must also insist on more work to determine the safety and effectiveness of existing products, such as over-the-counter spermicides that may have some microbicidal activity.

Interim Prevention Strategies: The Debate over Nonoxynol-9

Vicki Legion

Community Health Worker Training Program City College of San Francisco/San Francisco State University

Until recently, I worked at the Vida/SIDA (Life/AIDS) prevention project in a Puerto Rican neighborhood on the west side of Chicago. In this neighborhood, we experienced the same trends reported from around the globe. Every day we hear women – especially young women – telling us loud and clear that condom use isn't relevant to their reality: "You can keep the condoms. My man will never go for it. Have you got anything else for me?"

The "anything else" that we have is a very slender thread: we educate women with uncooperative partners about nonoxynol-9 for partial protection against STDs. This lack of HIV prevention options is especially agonizing because it often seems that women at highest risk – such as women in relationships with injection drug users and those who trade sex for money, drugs, or favors – have the least-likelihood of getting cooperation from their partners in the use of condoms. What are we to do until a female-controlled microbicide is available? There is evidence to suggest that the spermicide nonoxynol-9 might provide some protection against STD, including HIV. Nonoxynol-9 is a biodetergent which has been used in the United States for 40 years as the contraceptive ingredient in spermicidal jellies, creams, suppositories, foams, and films. A number of studies have shown nonoxynol-9 to be partially effective in preventing common STDs, such as gonorrhea and chlamydia. Data are conflicting regarding the ability of nonoxynol-9 to protect against HIV infection. No institution, however, has launched an aggressive research effort, nor even conducted a review of existing literature to craft an interim prevention message based on the available data, some of which is quite promising.

The result is that the prevention message for women is complete chaos: some sources advocate spermicide use for STD prevention, stressing that STD infection is a cofactor for HIV transmission while others send the message that nonoxynol-9 creates lesions which are also considered co-factors for transmission. In the confusion, there is a deafening silence with respect to the critical situation facing the millions of women who are now unable to protect themselves.

In light of the public health crisis of HIV transmission, I believe it is essential to recognize the following:

• This is an emergency – with a tidal wave of new infections bearing down on us, an imperfect, partial solution is better than no option.

• Women are able to understand a hierarchical message that is more complicated than "condoms, condoms, condoms" and should be presented with available data, even if they are inconclusive.

BR RACOC SH. 06.05. F24V

• The debate over nonoxynol-9 should be kept open and studies on its effectiveness as a microbicide must be urgently pursued.

• • •

The potentially microbicidal spermicide nonoxynol-9 has been the focus of much controversy. *Use effectiveness* is the performance of a product in actual use while *method effectiveness* measures its performance under perfect use conditions. Almost certainly, nonoxynol-9 may have a lower *method* effectiveness as a prevention against HIV transmission than a physical barrier provided by a male or female condom. It may, however, have the potential for higher *use* effectiveness because it is woman-controlled and does not necessarily require the consent of a partner. Regardless of its effectiveness as a microbicide, however, health workers face another problem when presenting nonoxynol-9 as a possible woman-controlled STD prevention method. As a spermicide, it – like condoms – prevents pregnancy.

Practical Realities and Women's Protective Needs

Muriel J. Harris, Director Society for Women and AIDS in Africa Sierra Leone

The place of a woman in traditional African society makes her vulnerable to HIV infection. She is socially dependent on the man she marries, and although she contributes significantly to the income of the home, she is economically dependent on him as well. It is generally accepted that men have multiple casual sexual partners which increases a woman's risk of STD and AIDS. Her husband may take a new wife when he chooses, and if a man dies, his wife is married to his brother.

The emphasis within traditional African culture on child-bearing makes existing prevention strategies including condoms inapplicable to women in that society. It is believed that a woman must produce all the children that God will give her and a woman must prove early on in her marriage, and irrespective of her age, that she is capable of bearing children. She must provide the husband's family with a son as soon as possible, even if it means having multiple pregnancies which compromise her health. The higher the number of sons, the higher the status of the mother. The total number of children she bears will determine status in that community. Any use of contraceptives, then, is concealed from her husband.

Condom use is very limited in traditional African society and is used most often in casual sexual relationships. A woman who requests that her partner use a condom is often thought to have other sexual partners which can cause considerable problems for her. Additionally, men believe that their ejaculate must have the potential to create a life and refuse to use condoms within their stable relationships.

It is clear, then, that a non-contraceptive vaginal microbicide that a woman could use without the consent of her partner is urgently needed in Africa. Available STD prevention methods including the condom or even potentially microbicidal products like nonoxynol-9 prevent pregnancy and therefore are unacceptable to a woman whose status is wholly dependent on the number of children she produces. The woman's low status in traditional African society – which compounds her vulnerability to STD – needs

BR RICOC SH-06.05. F23V

also to be addressed in frank and non-judgmental terms, but a non-contraceptive microbicide may save her life long before societal change is realized.

• • •

It is evident from above that an improved STD/AIDS prevention strategy must address <u>both</u> the longterm or *strategic* gender needs of women, i.e. we must challenge and alter the current power imbalances between women and men, as well as meet the immediate, *practical* need for woman-controlled technologies. Such a strategy must emphasize the fact that all sexually active people are at risk of STD/AIDS. Without such efforts, microbicides are likely to be perceived only as a "technological fix" that at best by-passes, and at worst reinforces, gender power imbalances. In fact, behavioral and attitudinal factors make a "technological fix" for the STD/AIDS epidemic virtually impossible.

It is unlikely that, after a vaginal microbicide is developed, it will prove 100 percent effective in preventing the transmission of STD/HIV. It is more likely that a microbicide will offer limited protection against HIV and other STDs, and ideally would be used in addition to condoms when possible. It is thus essential to continue work on behavioral change, not simply technology. This issue, among others such as the safety and cost of microbicides, will be of tremendous importance when the time comes to consider introducing this new technology, and underscores the need for full participation of women's health advocates from the start.

BR RICOC SH. 06.05. F23

No Quick Fix: Integrating Microbicides into an Overall AIDS Prevention Strategy

Nicolien Wieringa Women's Health Action Foundation Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Many interrelated factors put women at high risk of STDs and AIDS. These include multiple sexual partners, lack of knowledge about STDs, lack of condoms, lack of adequate medical services, inequity in power relationships between women and men, having other STDs, and the high prevalence of HIV in a community. Because of the complexity of these factors, an integrated approach is needed to adequately address the situation of women at high risk for HIV. When considering the causes of women's high risk for HIV transmission through heterosexual contact, the following factors can be identified:

• **Disease related factors** at the individual and community level – high prevalence of HIV infection and other sexually transmitted diseases.

• Factors related to the **political and socio-cultural context** – multiple partners and sexual habits causing high risk of vaginal lesions, inequality in power relations between women and men.

• Factors related to the **quality of the health care system** – lack of medical care in the case of STDs, lack of knowledge about HIV transmission and preventive measures, lack of condom availability.

BR Riex SH. 06. 05. F22V

These problems exist in developed and developing countries, although they appear in varying intensity and balance. We should aim to develop health policies to control STDs and HIV in which users' perspectives and needs are central.

Not only do STDs lead to an increased risk of HIV transmission, they are markers of a woman's risk and social vulnerability. This reality suggests that it is just not enough to develop a technological fix to prevent HIV. With the present high numbers of women and men already infected with STDs, major efforts are needed to give adequate care and treatment, especially in a time when economic constraints present difficult challenges.

The following issues should be included in a comprehensive strategy:

1. Education – to encourage women to seek medical consultation when they experience vaginal symptoms, to teach them the relationship between their symptoms and the sexual transmission of infections that cause them, and to empower women to take care of their bodies.

2. Treatment of STDs with antibiotics - to reduce the number of people infected.

3. Counselling and consultation – to treat sexual partners for STDs and to increase the acceptance of preventive measures.

4. Preventive measures – to provide condoms, other barrier methods, and safe and effective microbicides. (It is vital that condoms are not only promoted through AIDS programs, but also in family planning services to make explicit the connection between sexual behavior and contraception, STDs, personal care, and wellbeing.)

5. Development of a health infrastructure – to provide adequate care for diagnosis and treatment of STDs. (Ideally, this type of care needs to be integrated with reproductive health services – access to contraceptives, abortion services, and services to prevent and treat infertility. Treatment, counselling, and prevention of STDs are necessary elements of easily accessible medical care. Developing and introducing microbicides needs to go hand in hand with developing policies to implement better health care services directed towards controlling STDs.) I'd like to make one final point. There is a continued need to focus on the use of condoms for the prevention of HIV/AIDS, because at this moment it is unknown whether microbicides will ever be more effective than condoms in preventing the sexual transmission of HIV. It is also unclear, and perhaps even unlikely, that a microbicide will be effective against the broad range of microorganisms causing STDs. The use of condoms is still, and may always be, the best option for women.

d

ì

nd

e

ie

ling

ed

en's

BE RICOC SH. 06.05. F211

Reflections and Hopes

Christopher Elias, The Population Council Adrienne Germain, International Women's Health Coalition New York, New York

We began discussing our plans for a consultation between women's health advocates and Population Council scientists on the topic of microbicides almost a year before our May 1994 meeting. Our thoughts were informed by the various meetings and consultations being held around the world in preparation for the Fourth International Conference on Population and Development in Cairo. We noted with some trepidation the tensions that have been brought into sharp focus by issues related to technology. Who decides what technology is developed? By whom and for whom? With what motive and with what understanding of the realities of poverty, injustice, and exploitation? We also noted the highly politicized world of AIDS research and wondered about the potential complexity of the process we were contemplating.

Within this context, however, we perceived the opportunity to pursue a new model of consultation – indeed partnership – around the possibility of developing safe and effective microbicides. Here was a technology that could potentially meet the demands of women for a method of STD protection within their personal control. The scientists involved with microbicides development at the Population Council – a leading public-sector reproductive health technology development organization – had achieved enough internal commitment and external resources to begin a small, but promising program of product development. It was still early in the technology development process and these scientists were open to exploring a meaningful dialogue with women's

health advocates. If successful, we might not just expedite and improve the relevance and ethical standards of microbicide research, but also identify effective approaches to debate other reproductive health technologies.

We recognized that participants coming from diverse backgrounds, professions, and experience would need sufficient time to develop a common language and comfortable means of conversation as well as to review the full range of issues. We thus decided on a two week consultation. At first some wondered how we would fill the time. What if we ran out of things to say on the first day? As is evident from the preceding essays, this did not happen. Indeed, we left feeling some issues had not received the attention they deserved and agreed to meet again in the fall. Through the course of eight long days we exchanged a great amount of information. We also began the process of seeing into each other's worlds, of understanding the shape of our respective discourses. Language revealed itself as an important element of our consultation. What do we mean when we say "acceptability" or "vulnerable population" or "monitoring"? The words we use – whether in advocacy or science – sometimes reveal the assumptions that have led to tensions in both the population and HIV/AIDS fields.

ue

le

th

0

)

as

The words represent realities that are even more different. The real world of the product development and registration process, with its scientific uncertainties, regulatory and liability issues, and requirements for demonstration of safety and effectiveness greatly influences what counts as "progress" and "success" in science. Similarly, hearing about the day to day realities of life as brothel-based sex workers increased our

BR 121000 CH. 06.05. F20 V

awareness of the problems often encountered in the implementation of even welldesigned clinical trials. These insights gave a new texture to the challenge of responsibly conducting microbicides efficacy testing.

We have opened the doors to an effective partnership. In terms of the goals of the meeting, we have made considerable progress in regard to three of the five goals. As reflected in the preceding essays of this report, we have fostered considerable sensitivity and insight among participant scientists regarding the realities of women's lives. We increased the abilities of the women's health advocates who participated by increasing their access to accurate scientific information. And we furthered the dialogue between women's health advocates, scientists, and program planners on issues that affect women's sexual and reproductive health. All of these activities need to continue and should serve as the basis for an on-going exchange and dialogue. Our remaining two goals - to ensure that the Population Council's current commitment to microbicide development results in the availability of vaginal products that are safe, effective, and meet women's needs and to develop an effective example for future reproductive health technology development that engages women as active partners in all aspects of the product development process - are longer term aspirations that will require that we go beyond simply meeting and exchanging information and viewpoints. As Amparo Claro emphasized during our meeting, a true partnership will require a more participatory approach. To this end the group is considering several possible areas of collaboration as discussed by Lori Heise in the following section.

BR REEN SH. 06.05- F20

Our concentrated exchange of ideas is a first step toward a truly fruitful partnership for the future. In the face of the rapidly expanding global epidemic of sexually transmitted infection and AIDS, the importance and the urgency of this alliance is enormous.

3.

 \mathbf{e}

BR RSCOC SH. 06.05. F19V

Furthering the Partnership

Lori Heise The Pacific Institute for Women's Health Washington, DC

In the fall of 1994, the women's health advocates plan to meet again to further consolidate a vision for long term collaboration on microbicide development and testing. The group has decided to seek an identity separate from the Population Council, but remains committed to working with the Population Council scientists and staff to provide input at each step of the microbicide testing and development process. Henceforth, the Pacific Institute for Women's Health in Washington, DC will serve as the secretariat for the group which has renamed itself the "EnGendering Microbicides Group."

The goal of the EnGendering Microbicides Group is to serve as a technical resource and advocacy group to help ensure that women's needs and perspectives are included at every phase of the microbicide development process. A major focus of the group will be interacting with the Population Council's research and development process, although the group hopes to have an impact on the wider research and funding community. Ultimately, the project hopes to forge a new approach to reproductive health technology development that engages women as active partners in all phases of the development process.

As one of its first activities, the EnGendering Microbicides Group intends to hold a two-day discussion at its autumn meeting on product "acceptability" from a feminist perspective. Traditionally, there has been very little acceptability research done to inform the development of new contraceptive technologies. What do women want?

How do they negotiate safe sex with their partners? What factors will determine whether they will use a product? How do women's needs and preferences change based on the circumstances of their sexual encounter? The research that has been done has generally tested the "acceptability" of a product once it has been developed, rather than seek insights to guide the development of future products more responsive to women's needs. At the October 1994 meeting, women's health advocates will explore the wider set of parameters that affect women's perceptions of "acceptability" including the side effects of new technologies, the preferences of one's partner, and whether a method can be hidden. The group hopes to develop several proposals for research undertaken by and for women on microbicide acceptability.

d

The group is also planning a major seminar for spring 1995 to discuss issues surrounding the design and implementation of Phase III trials. The idea is to broaden the current dialogue on trial design and implementation to include voices not normally heard on this issue: women's health advocates, trial participants, feminist ethicists, human rights workers, and policy makers. By bringing clinical investigators, researchers, and women's health advocates together, the group hopes to forge a new vision of how trials could and should be run. In addition to developing generic principles to guide trial design, implementation, and monitoring, the EnCendering Microbicides Group hopes to generate concrete suggestions that can be incorporated into the design of Phase II and III testing of possible microbicides.

The group will continue to meet twice a year to advance its own and others' thinking on microbicide development and testing. The key will be to stay one step

BR RICOC 3406.05. F 12V

ahead of the technology development process so that the EnGendering Microbicides Group network can continue to contribute its expertise and insights into the design and testing of a new vaginal microbicide.

BR LJOX 52.06.05. F18

Recommendations of the Women's Health Advocates Presented to the Senior Officers and President of the Population Council

S

ım

/ is

ien

2, it

nal

ents

ng

to

Is

٦g

is is

veen

ase

е

he

cil

3ased

copes y of

ing

1. We endorse the process of consultation with women's health advocates in the course of microbicide development. We feel that a sustained commitment to this type of partnership would have a variety of positive benefits for the Population Council and the field of contraceptive technology development in general, including:

a) building greater understanding and mutual respect among women's health advocates and the Population Council staff and scientists,

b) helping to ensure that whatever microbicidal product is developed truly meets women's needs and will be widely accepted and used, and

c) helping to mobilize sustained support outside of the Council for greater financial investment in microbicide research.

2. As a leader in the field of reproductive technology and as the best public sector agency positioned to do so, we encourage the Population Council to pursue whatever means necessary to expand current investment in microbicide research. We understand that the Population Council is laboring under staff and space limitations but we feel the urgency of women's need for microbicidal products warrants concentrated effort to overcome these barriers. We are greatly encouraged, indeed excited, about the possibilities presented by sulphated polymers, but feel it is essential that the Council (and others) accelerate the search for other potentially microbicidal compounds.

3. We urge the International Committee on Contraceptive Research (ICCR) to expand its membership to include a female investigator with special training and expertise in gender issues. Likewise, we encourage the ICCR to invite selected women's health advocates (as it currently invites funders and other outside representatives) to participate in portions of the ICCR meetings. In addition to facilitating a mutual exchange of ideas, such representation would help ensure that women's needs and perspectives are raised early in the technology development process.

4. Beginning now, the Population Council should systemize consultation with this group (and other women's health advocates as appropriate) at each phase of the microbicide development process. This would include periodic updates on the progress of the science, consultation on the selection of research sites and the

design of clinical trials, and an opportunity to review and comment on all protocols prior to implementation. The Council should consider expanding this type of consultation process to other reproductive technologies now under development.

We strongly believe that the development of topical microbicides for 5. intravaginal use should always be presented in the context of an overall program to reduce women's risk of contracting HIV and other STDs. A new technology is simply one of many complex activities that must be pursued to empower women and reduce their risk. Given the tendency of individuals and institutions to pursue "technological fixes" at the expense of more fundamental social change, it is essential that the Population Council, in both its public statements and internal workplans constantly contextualize microbicide development within a broader program of STD/HIV prevention. We recognize that the Ebert program represents a firm commitment to this type of multidimensional approach, but we are concerned that the current staffing level may be inadequate to accomplish the objectives laid out in the new mission and mandate regarding STD/HIV prevention and control. We encourage the Council to review its current staffing levels in light of its newly articulated commitment to a broader reproductive health mission.

We are encouraged by the Population Council's emerging commitment to 6. institutionalize monitoring of the informed consent process in all of its clinical trials. This represents an important shift toward greater concern with how trials are actually implemented in addition to the research community's long-standing commitment to scientifically and ethically defensible trial designs. Because this is such a pathbreaking area of work, we would suggest strong collaboration between the Programs Division and CBR in developing the process by which such monitoring can be achieved. We encourage a systematic process of action research and reflection to develop the system and principles upon which to base this monitoring. Important issues remain outstanding: Should the monitors be outside consultants or Council staff? What criteria should be used for picking monitors? Who should such monitors consult for information in addition to the trial participants themselves? How should such monitors be trained and evaluated? To discover the best methodology for doing such work, the Council should consider sending multidisciplinary teams to several sites to perform monitoring and have them reconvene to share experiences and techniques. Based on these experiences, the Population Council should develop a detailed training manual and list of recommendations for future monitors. The Council should remain open to the possibility that different types of monitors with different scopes of work may be necessary depending on the type of trial and the vulnerability of the trial participants.

7. We are encouraged by the emerging shift in health-related research and care towards including representatives from the communities affected (patients, trial participants, etc.) in the design of research studies and in agenda setting. We recommend that the Population Council explore ways to institutionalize such a commitment in the organization of its work.

8. As a member of the interagency microbicide task force, the Population Council should lobby to add two women's health advocates as standing members of the committee. This will facilitate the integration of women's perspectives into the research agendas and methodologies of other institutions pursuing microbicide research.

9. We suggest that the Population Council organize activities at the upcoming Cairo ICPD conference to lend prominence to the development of new femalecontrolled barrier methods within an overall program of STD prevention and control.

ı's

BR R360C SH.06.05, F16V

Esther Adebayo-Olojo Center for Biomedical Research The Population Council 1230 York Avenue New York, NY 10021 tel: 212-374-8744 fax: 212-327-7678

Vicki Alexander Community Family Planning Council 184 Fifth Avenue, 2nd Floor New York, NY 10010 tel: 212-924-1400 (x 223) fax: 212-647-9852

Maria Betánia Avila* Brazilian Feminist Health Network c/o SOS Corpo Rua Major Codeceira, 37-Santo Amaro 50100-0070 Recife - Pernambuco, Brazil tel: 55-81-221-3018 fax: 55-81-221-3945

Rani Bang* Society for Education, Action and Research in Community Health (SEARCH) Nagpur Business Center 8 Wardha Road, Near Lokmat Square Nagpur 440012 INDIA fax: 91-712-532-939

Liz Cameron Empower 72/2 Mu Baan Raming-Niwet Tippnet Road Chiang Mai, Thailand tel: 66-53-276-652

Participants

Amparo Claro Latin American and Caribbean Women's Health Network ISIS International Casilla 2067 Correo Central Santiago, Chile tel: 56-2-6334582 fax: 56-2-6383142

Christiana Coggins The Population Council 1 Dag Hammarskjold Plaza New York, NY 10017 tel: 212-339-0617 fax: 212-755-6052

Christopher Elias The Population Council P.O. Box 11-1213 Nana Post Office Bangkok 10112 Thailand tel: 66-2-251-4766 fax: 66-2-255-5513

Adrienne Germain International Women's Health Coalition 24 East 21st Street New York, NY 10010 tel: 212-979-8500 fax: 212-979-9009

Jennifer Grant The Population Council 1 Dag Hammarskjold Plaza New York, NY 10017 tel: 212-339-0629 fax: 212-755-6052 Muriel J. Harris Society for Women and AIDS in Africa 30-A Wallace Johnson Street P.O. Box 603 Freetown, Sierra Leone tel: 232-22-226536 fax: 232-22-241110

Lori Heise

Pacific Institute for Women's Health 1730 Rhode Island Ave., NW, #712 Washington, DC 20036 tel: 202-293-4868 fax: 202-293-4860

Vicki Legion Community Health Worker Program Health Education Department San Francisco State University 1600 Holloway Ave. San Francisco, CA 94132-1722 tel: 415-338-3034 fax: 415-338-0570

Florence Manguyu* Medical Women's International Association P.O. Box 41307 Nairobi, KENYA tel: 254-2-741-666 fax: 254-2-560-813

Rachael Pearce-Pratt Center for Biomedical Research The Population Council 1230 York Avenue New York, NY 10021 tel: 212-374-8746 fax: 212-327-7678 David Phillips Center for Biomedical Research The Population Council 1230 York Avenue New York, NY 10021 tel: 212-374-8744 fax: 212-327-7678

Nicolien Wieringa Women's Health Action Foundation P.O. Box 94263 1009 AG Amsterdam The Netherlands tel: 31-20-6652002 fax: 31-20-6653002

Beverly Winikoff The Population Council 1 Dag Hammarskjold Plaza New York, NY 10017 tel: 212-339-0623 fax: 212-755-6052

*unable to attend the meeting in May

BR RTCX 24.06.05. F1=V

Agenda

Introductions

Tuesday, May 3

The Population Council 1 Dag Hammarskjold Plaza New York, NY

8:30	Coffee and breads available
9:00 - 10:30	Welcome and Background (Christopher Elias, Adrienne Germain, Lori Heise) Brief Introductions
10:30 - 10:45	Coffee Break
10:45 - 11:45	Overview of the Next 10 Days (Christa Coggins) Review of Draft Objectives for Meeting
	Logistics/Housekeeping (Jennifer Grant)
11:45 - 12:30	Hopes and Concerns for this Process
12:30 - 1:30	Lunch
1:30 - 3:30	Personal Stories (Who are we? What brought us to this work?)
3:30 - 5:00	Discussion of Additional Meetings/ Information Sessions to be Scheduled Group Consensus on Meeting Objectives

Wednesday, May 4

Meeting With Wider Population Council Community Beverly Winikoff, Chairperson

8:30	Coffee available
9:00 - 9:15	Welcome (Beverly Winikoff)
9:15 - 10:15	Integrating Women's Voices into Technology Development: A Bit of History (Adrienne Germain)
	Practical Realities and Women's Protection Needs in Africa (Muriel Harris)
	Discussion
10:15 - 12:15	The Science of Microbicide Development (David Phillips, Rachael Pearce-Pratt, Vanaja Zacharopoulos, Center for Biomedical Research, The Population Council)
	Discussion
12:15 - 1:15	Lunch
1:15 - 2:45	Clinical Trial Design: Ethics and Science (Lori Heise)
	Research Among Vulnerable Populations: A View from the Field (Liz Cameron)
	Discussion
2:45 - 4:15	Challenges of Microbicide Development (Christopher Elias)
	No Quick Fix: The Role of Technology in an Overall AIDS Prevention Strategy (Nicolien Wieringa, Women's Health Action Foundation)
4:15 - 5:00	Reception Hosted by Beverly Winikoff and the Ebert Program

42

BR RECOC SH. DE. OF. F14V

Thursday, May 5

Meeting with Staff from the CBR Laboratories

8:30	Coffee available	
9:30 - 10:45	Introductions	
	Discussion of Outstanding Microbicide Issues	
	Issues of Formulation (Esther Adebayo-Olojo)	
	Proposed Acceptability Studies (Christa Coggins)	
	Prevention of Other STDs (Franca Zaretzky)	
10:45	Coffee break	
11:00 - 12:15	Overview of the Population Council's Contraceptive Development Program (Rosemarie Thau, Director of Contraceptive Development)	
12:15 - 2:00	Discussion of previous presentation over Lunch	
2:00 - 5:00	Continued discussion of PC Contraceptive Development Discussion of acceptability protocol	

	Friday, May 6	
	9:00 - 10:30	Review of Research on the Efficacy of Nonoxynol-9 for STD/HIV Prevention (Erica Gollub)
		Discussion
ease	10:30 - 10:45	Coffee break
	10:45 - 12:15	Policymaking in the Face of Uncertainty: New York State's Hierarchy of Protection Options for Women (Mike Rampolla)
gram		Discussion of Nonoxynol-9 Policy Options
 Antonio and Support 	12:30 - 2:00	Luncheon with local women's health activists
an a	2:00 - 3:00	Discussion of the Female Condom Findings from Recent Acceptability Studies (Erica Gollub)
	3:00 - 5:00	Further discussion of female condom, nonoxynol-9 studies
panar Anton oʻrshi va ko		

; HIV

n the

BR RJCOE SH. 06.05. F 13V

Monday, May 9th

Washington, DC

8:15	Meet in Hotel Lobby
9:00 - 12:00	Briefing on the Activities of Other Actors Involved in HIV/STD Prevention Technologies
9:00 - 9:45	The work of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease (NIAID) (Penelope Hitchcock)
9:45 - 10:30	The work of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD)
10:30 - 11:15	The work of the Contraceptive Research and Development Program (CONRAD, Henry Gabelnick)
11:15 - 12:00	Lunch and discussion of morning's presentations
12:00 - 1:15	Briefing on current and future microbicide research by Family Health International (Paul Feldblum)
1:30 - 3:30	An Open Discussion with USAID staff (Liz McGuire, Jeff Spieler, and colleagues)
	This was an opportunity for participants to ask questions and exchange views with high-level AID decision makers regarding family planning policy and programs, contraceptive research, HIV prevention policy, etc.
4:00 - 5:00	The Regulatory Process - An informal discussion with staff from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Tuesday, May 10th

The Politics of Women and HIV

8:45	Meet in Hotel Lobby
9:30	Meeting with Representative Constance Morella (R-MD)
10:30	Strategy Session with staffers of the Congressional Women's Caucus
11:30 - 12:45	Lunch/Center for Women's Policy Studies
	(Informal discussion with local advocates on the politics of Women and HIV in the U.S.)
1:00 - 4:00	Meeting with "The Microbicide Research Advocacy Project," a coalition of U.S. advocates working to build support for microbicide research
5:30 - 6:30	Fly to New York on the Delta Shuttle
7:30 - 9:00	Reception at the home of Mrs. Margaret Catley-Carlson, President of the Population Council

BR RSCOC SH. 06.05. F12V

Wednesday, May 11

Presentation of Recommendations to Senior Population Council Management

8:00 - 8:30	Breakfast - Ninth Floor Conference Room, The Population Council
8:30 - 9:45	Presentation by women's health advocates to senior staff of the Population Council
9:45 - 10:00	Coffee break
10:00 - 11:00	Continued Discussion
11:00	Senior Officers depart
11:00 - 12:30	Further Discussion and Strategy Session with Remaining Participants
12:30 - 1:30	Break for Lunch
2:00 - 5:00	Discussion of future steps

BR R1COC SH. 06.05.F1

Thursday, May 12

Strategic Planning for Future of Project Evaluation of Process

8:30 Coffee Available

9:00 - 12:30 Discussion of Possible Future Activities of Group

Regional Activities

Future International Meetings

Resource Needs

Developing a Communications Plan

- 12:30 1:30 Lunch
- 1:30 2:30 Evaluation of Process to Date/Recommendations for Future
- 2:30 3:30 Developing Detailed Plans of Action (for inclusion in a Funding Proposal to the MacArthur Foundation)
- 3:30 5:00 Getting the Word Out: Discussion of ways to mobilize our wider constituencies

;e

t

١d

ill